

# Snakes and Lattices: Understanding the Bifurcation Structure of Localized Solutions to Lattice Dynamical Systems

## Lattice Dynamical Systems

Spatially-localized structures occur in the natural world, such as in vegetation patters, crime hotspots, and ferrofluids. Taylor and Dawes [2]inspected stationary localized solutions of the lattice dynamical system  $\dot{U}_n = d(U_{n+1} + U_{n-1} - 2U_n) - \mu U_n + 2U_n^3 - U_n^5, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1)$ where d > 0 represents the strength of coupling between nearestneighbours, and  $\mu$  is a bifurcation parameter. They identified solutions

which lead to a snakes and ladders bifurcation diagram:



Further inspection of system (1) reveals a number of localized steadystates, including some with oscillatory plateaus. Here the bifurcation diagram does not snake, but leads to a series of stacked isolas.



Setting  $U_n = 0$  and letting  $u_n = U_{n-1}$  and  $v_n = U_n$  gives the discrete dynamical system



$$u_{n+1} = v_n,$$
  
$$v_{n+1} = 2v_n - u_n + \frac{1}{d}(\mu v_n - 2v_n^3 + v_n^5).$$

In the map (2) localized solutions correspond to homoclinic orbits.

### Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by an NSERC PDF held at Brown University.

Jason Bramburger and Björn Sandstede Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University

# **Snaking Versus Isolas**

We demonstrate that the behaviour of heteroclinic orbits of (2) dictates the bifurcations of localized steady-states of (1).



Snaking is caused by intersecting stable and unstable manifolds that move through each other as  $\mu$  increases.



Isolas are caused by intersecting stable and unstable manifolds that do not move through each other as  $\mu$  increases

The Anti-Continuum Limit

The anti-continuum limit d = 0 in (1) allows one to explicitly construct singular heteroclinic orbits.

• Away from the bifurcation points  $\mu = 0, 1$  we can use the implicit function theorem to continue these heteroclinic orbits into d > 0

• Near the bifurcation points  $\mu = 0, 1$  there are infinitely many bifurcations taking place. We can use singularity theory to unfold these bifurcations for small d > 0.



These methods allow one to explicitly determine the behaviour of the intersection of stable and unstable manifolds as  $\mu$  is varied. This produces tangible affirmation of our theoretical work, something which is probably too difficult to be undertaken in the spatially continuous cases explored in [1].

## References

- [1] M. Beck, J. Knobloch, D. Lloyd, B. Sandstede, and T. Wagenknecht, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **41**, (2009) 936-972.
- [2] C. Taylor and J.H.P. Dawes, *Phys. Rev. A* **375**, (2010) 14-22.

(2)





Inspecting localized steady-states on higher dimensional lattices reveals a rich and complex bifurcation structure which is not necessarily reminiscent of the one-dimensional lattice case (1). Consider the twodimensional analogue of (1) given by

$$\dot{U}_{n,m} = d(U_{n+1,m} - \mu U)$$

Here we find the existence of localized steady-states with a four-fold rotational symmetry, but the bifurcation structure no longer has the regular structure of the one-dimensional system:



Ongoing Work: We are optimistic that the methods of continuing solutions from the anti-continuum limit (d = 0) can be used to understand the irregular structure shown above.

<u>Goal</u>: Provide insight into the bifurcations of localized solutions in dimensions greater than one - an area that completely lacks a rigorous theoretical foundation in the PDE setting.



# **Higher Dimensional Lattices**

 $-1,m + U_{n-1,m} + U_{n,m+1} + U_{n,m-1} - 4U_{n,m}) + \mu U_{n,m} + 2U_{n,m}^3 - U_{n,m}^5, \quad (n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$